

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>		1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 3	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 000001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 08/23/2013		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	
5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)		6. ISSUED BY NASA/John F. Kennedy Space Center Office of Procurement MAIL CODE OP KENNEDY SPACE CENTER FL 32899		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) NASA/Kennedy Space Center Office of Procurement MAIL CODE OP KENNEDY SPACE CENTER FL 32899	
CODE		KSC		CODE KSC	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)		(x)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. NNK13474496R	
		x		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 08/15/2013	
				10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE			

**11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS**

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☒ is not extended.  
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 2 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

**12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)**

**13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

**E. IMPORTANT:** Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_\_ copies to the issuing office.

**14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)**

A. The purpose of this amendment is to remove the performance confidence table on past performance (FACTOR 2(b) - Past Performance) on pages 41 and 42 and replace with Acceptable/Unacceptable evaluation definitions on page 41.

B. Remove pages 41 and 42 of the original solicitation and replace with the attached pages 41 and 42. Delete page 43 in its entirety.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  (Signature of person authorized to sign)		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  (Signature of Contracting Officer)	
15C. DATE SIGNED		16C. DATE SIGNED	

the documentation necessary for calibration of test equipment; the documentation necessary for material conformance; and an approach to documentation of all materials origin used in manufacture.

The following ratings will be used to evaluate this factor:

**Acceptable** - The offeror meets and/or exceeds the requirements, and has demonstrated an understanding of the requirements and specifications described in the solicitation. The company's level of understanding of the work to be performed is thorough and comprehensive. Probability of successful contract performance is good.

**Unacceptable** - The offeror does not meet the requirements, and/or the technical proposal has failed to demonstrate a satisfactory level of understanding of the requirement or capability in one or more areas. The company lacks basic understanding of work to be performed under the contract. This low level of understanding or capability would cause significant concern that there would be a high risk associated with the company's performance.

#### **FACTOR 2(b) – Past Performance**

- i. The evaluation of past performance will be conducted in accordance with FAR 15.305(a) (2) and NFS 1815.305(a) (2). The offeror's relevant performance of work similar in size, content, and complexity to the requirements of this acquisition will be evaluated. For purposes of this Section, relevant is a contract performed within the last five (5) years that is similar in size, content, and complexity to requirements of this RFP. The Government may supplement the information contained in the proposal with information obtained from other Government organizations and personnel, commercial sources, public information sources, and, if applicable, data gathered during the discussion phase of the evaluation. Emphasis will be given to the extent of recent direct experience and quality of past performance on previous contracts that are highly relevant to the effort defined in this RFP.

- ii. The following ratings will be used to evaluate this factor:

**Acceptable** - Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the offeror's performance record is unknown. (See note below.)

**Unacceptable** - Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has no reasonable expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.

**Note:** In the case of an offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance (see FAR 15.305 (a)(2)(iv)). Therefore, the offeror shall be determined to have unknown past performance. In the context of acceptability/ unacceptability, "unknown" shall be considered "acceptable."

#### **d. EVALUATION PROCESS**

The following describes the general methodology that will be used for proposal evaluation:

(1) Offerors will be checked against the List of Parties Excluded From Federal Procurement and Non-procurement Programs. Offerors who appear on the List will be eliminated without further consideration. Proposals will also be checked for minor informalities or irregularities. The Contracting Officer will follow guidance at FAR 15.306 for resolving minor informalities or irregularities.

(2) The Contracting Officer will conduct a price analysis on each offeror's submitted price.

(3) The technical (non-price) volume of the offeror with the lowest evaluated reasonable price will be given to the technical evaluators for review. If the technical (non-price) proposal is determined to be technically "Acceptable", award shall be made to that offeror, subject to a positive responsibility determination in accordance with FAR Part 9. In order for a technical proposal to be determined technically "Acceptable", all of the non-price factors in the technical proposal must be individually and collectively evaluated as "Acceptable".

(4) If the technical proposal is determined to be technically "Unacceptable" the technical (non-price) volume of the offeror with the next lowest evaluated reasonable price will be given to the technical evaluators for review. If the technical (non-price) proposal of the offeror with the next lowest evaluated reasonable price is determined to be technically "Acceptable" award shall be made to that offeror subject to a positive responsibility determination in accordance with FAR Part 9.

(5) If the technical proposal is determined to be technically "Unacceptable" the process described will be conducted again, as many times as necessary, until such time as the Government identifies a technically "Acceptable" proposal.

#### **M.2 SELECTION DECISION**

Selection for contract award will be made based on a Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) source selection process. The proposal that provides the lowest fair and reasonable price and is otherwise technically acceptable in all non-price factors will be selected for award. The selection will be made subject to an affirmative determination of contractor responsibility in accordance with FAR Subpart 9.1.

(End of provision)

#### **M.3 FAR 52.217-5 Evaluation of Options. (Jul 1990)**

Except when it is determined in accordance with FAR 17.206(b) not to be in the Government's best interests, the Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).

(End of provision)

Amendment 000001- 08/23/2013